

Applic. No. 09/927,545
Amdt. dated September 17, 2007
Reply to Office action of July 17, 2007

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 17 2007

Remarks/Arguments:

Reconsideration of the application is requested.

Claims 1-15 remain in the application. Claims 1 and 9 have been amended.

DO NOT
ENTER

In item 2 on page 2 of the above-identified Office action, claims 1-16 have been rejected as being obvious over Ohwada (U.S. Patent No. 4,443,849) in view of Lytel et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,039,189) (hereinafter "Lytel") under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

The rejection has been noted and the claims have been amended in an effort to even more clearly define the invention of the instant application. The claims are patentable for the reasons set forth below. Support for the changes is found in Figs. 1 and 2 and on page 8, lines 9-16 and page 15, lines 19-21 of the specification.

It is noted that on lines 19-21 on page 15 of the specification, the function of the input units is described. There it is disclosed that the error mode can either switched via input or automatically via a second control apparatus.